Preliminary Amendment

Atty Docket No: 1826-310CIPRI

Page: 16

REMARKS

This Preliminary Amendment is being filed concurrently with the above-listed Reissue Patent Application. The above-presented amendments to the specification implement the specification-related changes that are detailed in a Certificate of Correction entered in the patent for which reissue is sought here (U.S. 6,366,619).

The amendment to independent claim 1 adds a --linearizer-limitation. This linearizer limitation was previously presented
in claim 11 of the issued patent. Claim 11 is amended to further
specify that the linearizer is a digital linearizer and that the
transmitter circuit additionally includes a digital-to-analog
converter downstream of the digital linearizer. Likewise,
--linearizing-- and other steps are added to independent claim
12, and a --linearizer-- limitation is added to independent claim
18.

All amendments made in this reissue application find a basis in previously allowed claims from the issued patent as well as in the specification. No new matter has been added. Moreover, all amendments result in claims narrower than their counterparts from the issued patent. Thus, this reissue application is a narrowing reissue application.

An Information Disclosure Statement enclosed herewith identifies an article by May et al., entitled: "Reducing the Peak-To-Average Power Ratio In OFDM Radio Transmission Systems" along with other references. The May et al. article was published at an IEEE-sponsored Vehicular Technology Conference in

Preliminary Amendment

Atty Docket No: 1826-310CIPRI

Page: 17

Ottawa in May 1998, predating the filing date of the patent. The May et al. article discusses manipulating an OFDM signal by combining it with a correcting function to reduce amplitude peaks. As such, at least the May et al. article was material to the broadest claims of the issued patent. Omitting consideration of at least the May et al. article was an error that applicant seeks to correct through the present reissue application.

But the May et al. article does not discuss or suggest the inclusion of a linearizer or linearizing limitation as included in all claims, either directly or through claim dependencies. Accordingly, all claims are believed to be allowable.

Applicant believes that the foregoing amendments place the reissue application in a condition for allowance. Prompt consideration and favorable action are respectfully requested. The examiner is respectfully invited to call the below-indicated attorney if the examiner believes that such a call might be helpful to the examination of this application or to the expeditious allowance of claims.

Respectfully submitted,

Lowell W. Gresham

Attorney for Applicants

Reg. No. 31,165

Lowell W. Gresham 5727 North Seventh Street Suite 409 Phoenix, AZ 85014 (602) 274-6996